

Voluntary Autonomous Simulator Based Training in Minimally Invasive Surgery, Residents' Compliance and Reflection

Pieter J. van Empel, MD,* Mathilde G. E. Verdam, MSc,[†] Magnus Strypet, MD,*
Lennart B. van Rijssen,* Judith A. Huirne, MD, PhD,* Fedde Scheele, MD, PhD,[‡]
H. Jaap Bonjer, MD, PhD,[§] and W. Jeroen Meijerink, MD, PhD*

*Department of Surgery, VU University Medical Centre, Amsterdam, The Netherlands; [†]Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Sint Lucas Andreas Hospital, Amsterdam, The Netherlands; [§]Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology, VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam, The Netherlands; and [‡]Department of Psychology, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

BACKGROUND: Knot tying and suturing skills in minimally invasive surgery (MIS) differ markedly from those in open surgery. Appropriate MIS training is mandatory before implementation into practice. The Advanced Suturing Course (ASC) is a structured simulator based training course that includes a 6-week autonomous training period at home on a traditional laparoscopic box trainer. Previous research did not demonstrate a significant progress in laparoscopic skills after this training period. This study aims to identify factors determining autonomous training on a laparoscopic box trainer at home.

METHODS: Residents (n = 97) attending 1 of 7 ASC courses between January 2009 and June 2011 were consecutively included. After 6 weeks of autonomous, training a questionnaire was completed. A random subgroup of 30 residents was requested to keep a time log. All residents received an online survey after attending the ASC. We performed outcome comparison to examine the accuracy of individual responses.

RESULTS: Out of 97 residents, the main motives for noncompliant autonomous training included a lack of (training) time after working hours (n = 80, 83.3%), preferred practice time during working hours (n = 76, 31.6%), or another surgical interest than MIS (n = 79, 15.2%). Previously set training goals would encourage autonomous training according to 27.8% (n = 18) of residents. Thirty participants submitted a time log and reported an average 76.5-minute weekly training time. All residents confirmed that autonomous home practice on a laparoscopic box trainer is valuable.

CONCLUSIONS: Autonomous practice should be structured and inclusive of adequate and sufficient feedback

points. A minimally required practice time should be set. An obligatory assessment, including corresponding consequence should be conducted. Compliance herewith may result in increased voluntary (autonomous) simulator based (laparoscopic) training by residents. (J Surg 69:564-570. © 2012 Association of Program Directors in Surgery. Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.)

KEY WORDS: laparoscopic surgery, voluntary training, course, program, education, minimally invasive surgery

COMPETENCIES: Practice Based Training and Improvement, Minimal Invasive Skills, Patient Care

INTRODUCTION

Minimally invasive surgery (MIS) is an increasingly popular approach in surgical, urologic, and gynecologic surgery. MIS has demonstrated to reduce blood loss and postoperative pain, improve cosmetic results, decrease hospital stay, and accelerate postoperative recovery.^{1,2} Current residency curricula mandate all residents to be able to adequately perform basic laparoscopic procedures. An increasing number of studies indicate that prior experience in open surgery only displays minor correlation with laparoscopic performance.³⁻⁵

MIS skills differ markedly from those in open surgery. Distinct psychomotor skills, altered depth perception, video-eye-hand coordination, and diminished tactile feedback characterize MIS.⁶ Furthermore, rigid operating room (OR) schedules, condensed surgical training curricula, and an increasing awareness of patient safety have made the OR undesirable as a primary teaching environment for the acquisition of surgical (MIS) skills.⁶⁻¹³

Various simulation-based educational methods have therefore been developed, including virtual reality (VR)- and augmented reality (AR)-simulators, box trainers, and training on

Correspondence: Inquiries to Pieter J. van Empel, MD, Department of Surgery, VU University Medical Center, PO Box 7057, 1007 MB Amsterdam, The Netherlands; fax: 0031 20 4444559; e-mail: p.vanempel@vumc.nl

cadaveric or animal models. Skills acquired outside the OR have shown to be transferable to the OR.¹⁴⁻¹⁶ Unfortunately, training in MIS has shown to be inadequate at times. Particularly, voluntary autonomous training by residents during working hours is disappointing.^{17,18} Training on a voluntary basis may address this problem, additionally conforming to decreasing working hours. Furthermore, and voluntary autonomous training may result in increased skill retention.¹⁹

To educate and stimulate training in basic laparoscopic procedures, the general surgery and gynecology departments at our hospital introduced the Advanced Suturing Course (ASC) in Belgium and The Netherlands. The ASC consists of 2 training days in laparoscopic with a 6-week autonomous training period between. Multiple studies have demonstrated distributed interval training preferable above continuous “mass” training.²⁰⁻²³ The ASC makes use of standard laparoscopic box trainers, which several studies have found to be favorable above VR simulators.²⁴⁻²⁶ To our knowledge, this is the only course providing a complete box trainer setup at home. Previous research demonstrated no significant improvement of MIS skills after 6 weeks autonomous training.²⁷ The aim of this study is to identify factors determining autonomous training on a laparoscopic box trainer at home and explore possible solutions to address encountered issues.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Participants

Participating residents in general surgery, urology, and gynecology at 7 Advanced Suturing Course (ASC) courses between January 2009 and June 2011 at 3 different academic centers and 2 large regional training hospitals in The Netherlands and Belgium were voluntarily enrolled. Residents at any postgraduate year may attend the ASC as basic laparoscopic and open skills trainings programs have been completed.

Training

The ASC consists of 2 training days with a 6-week interval between. Training day 1 focuses on laparoscopic knot tying skills under the supervision of senior surgeons.²⁸ The laparoscopic box trainer simulates the abdomen by an aluminum frame and includes a built-in light source and camera coupled to a monitor. Three apertures in a removable cover are used to introduce traditional trocars and instruments and camera (Lapstar; Camtronics BV, Son, The Netherlands) (Fig. 1). After the first training day, the box trainer is taken home for 6 weeks of autonomous training. At day 2, laparoscopic knot tying techniques are repeated and evaluated, and practice takes place on porcine stomachs and intestines.

Reflection

Participants completed a questionnaire involving 10 questions regarding voluntary autonomous (home) practice. Questions



FIGURE 1. The laparoscopic box trainer.

were presented as multiple-choice (MC) answers on a 5-point scale with the opportunity to elaborate on answers. A value of 1, “totally disagree”/“very bad,” to a value of 5, “totally agree”/“very good” was assigned. We categorized scores of 1 or 2 as “disagree,” 3 as “neutral” and 4 or 5 as “agree.” Participants were also asked to report on their autonomous practice time. To test the accuracy of reported practice time, 30 participants were randomly allocated to keep a time log.

After the second training day, all participants were invited by e-mail to complete an online 3-question survey to explore in more depth problems involving voluntary autonomous home training on the laparoscopic box trainer and possible improvements to encourage voluntary practice at home. The answers to the 3 open questions about problems involving voluntary autonomous home training were categorized into different areas of conflict and were reported as the problems that were encountered by the resident personally and the problems that the resident thought might be encountered by other residents. The answers to the question about possible improvements to encourage voluntary practice at home in the future were categorized into 5 different areas of focus (Fig. 2).

Statistics

Statistical package for the social sciences, SPSS ver. 15.0.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) was used for statistical analyses. Given the normal distribution, a Student’s *t*-test was used to compare practiced time vs. desired practice time. Data were graphically illustrated using pie diagrams (Fig. 3). Answers to the questions were presented as frequencies and percentages.




FIGURE 2. Flow chart.

Tests were performed 2-sided, p value < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

RESULTS

A total of 97 residents participated in 7 ASC courses. Eighty residents (82.5%) completed the MC survey and 18 (18.6%) residents completed the online survey.

Residents ($n = 72$) reported an average 360 minutes of practice time during the 6-week training period. The 30 time logs reported an average training time of 298.5 (SD = 383.1) minutes over the 6-week training period. No significant difference was found in total average time practiced between residents keeping a time log and residents not keeping a time log (406.5 vs. 415.0 min, $p = 0.96$). The average time spent training during 6 weeks was significantly lower than the reported average desired practice time by the 30 residents, which was 1687.6 (SD = 1225.9, $p < 0.05$) minutes (= 281.3 min a week).

MC questions demonstrated that 83.8% of 80 residents felt they did not get enough MIS practice time without a box trainer. Not having sufficient MIS training time during residency was reported by 45% of 80 residents. An interest other than MIS was stated by 15.2% of residents. Whereas 42.5% of 80 residents felt to have spent sufficient time on autonomous training at home, 33.8% disagreed with this statement and 37.5% would have liked more time to train; 31.6% of the respondents ($n = 76$) indicated to prefer training in a skills laboratory during working hours above autonomous practice at home outside working hours, while 28.2% of 78 residents stated that the box trainer was not easy to set-up at home.

To encourage voluntary practice, 42.9% ($n = 77$) of residents agreed that home training should be obligatory, however only 28.8% ($n = 80$) felt this would encourage training; 76.6% of respondents ($n = 77$) felt MIS training should be obligatory before operating on patients.

Tables 1 and 2 depict categorized responses by residents ($n = 18$) to the online survey with open questions. Table 1 demonstrates reported problems for home practice, divided into resident's individual problems and issues that the resident anticipates for other residents. Table 2 lists categorized reported possible improvements to encourage voluntary autonomous home practice on a box trainer in the future.

DISCUSSION

To our knowledge, this is the first study evaluating voluntary use of a laparoscopic simulator at home. As previously demonstrated, after a 6-week period of autonomous training, residents demonstrated no significant progress in MIS skills compared with day 1.²⁷

On average, residents spent a total average of 49 minutes on voluntary autonomous home practice per week, while approximately 1 hour per working day would be preferred. A lack of training was mainly due to an overall lack of time (83.8%, $n = 80$) or insufficient space at home space to deploy the laparoscopic box trainer (33.3%, $n = 18$). No interest or motivation in MIS (22.2%, $n = 18$) was followed by uncertainty on the goals of the scheduled training program or autonomous training and the absence of assessment with consequences to the further residency program. Currently we are exploring the implementation of a guideline for residents illustrating average




FIGURE 3. Pie-diagrams.

practice time needed to acquire certain MIS skill-levels; 31.6% (n = 80) of the respondents believed that autonomous training time should be incorporated into working-time, protected from clinical responsibilities.

There is a correlation between practice and performance in which motivation is an important determinant in the acquisition of new (MIS) skills.^{29,30} Experience teaches us that motivation for laparoscopic procedural training is inversely related to laparoscopic

experience. Intrinsic motivation, such as personal improvement and interest, varies per person and is difficult to alter. Extrinsic motivation, such as assessments, promotions, etc. may be influenced by staff and program directors (e.g., by providing compulsory training time during working hours, inter-individual competitions and feedback).³¹ Kusurkar et al. reported that existing research suggests the learning environment also plays an important role in enhancing motivation.³²

Increased independence in surgical skill acquisition may result in decreased demands for faculty teaching time and program costs.³³ We feel provision of protected training-time on a (laparoscopic) simulator in a laboratory setting is currently the most promising method to enhance extrinsic motivation and, thus, participation in a training program.

TABLE 1. Residents' Individual Problems (I) for Voluntary Autonomous Training on a Traditional Laparoscopic Box Trainer, and Anticipated Problems (II) for Other Residents on Autonomous Training

	I Self		II Other	
	Frequency	%	Frequency	%
Time (at home)	10	55.5	8	44.4
Absence of predefined goals	2	11.1	3	16.7
Home capacity	5	27.7	6	33.3
Monitor quality	1	5.6	3	16.7
No suturing material			3	16.7
No motivation			4	22.2

Frequency; number of residents (n = 18).

TABLE 2. Categorized Reported Possible Improvements to Encourage Voluntary Autonomous Home Practice on a Traditional Laparoscopic Box Trainer

	Frequency	%
Set learning goals	5	27.8
Improve monitors	2	11.1
Obligatory assessment	4	22.2
Enable working hour practice	2	11.1
Midway assessment	4	22.2

Frequency; number of residents (n = 18).

Due to the absence of comparable studies in this field, we were unable to compare our results. Our findings are limited for the following reasons. First, we constructed our own questionnaire, as a standard validated questionnaire for these research grounds is not available. The online survey was only completed by 18 residents after attending the course, who were probably the most motivated trainees and, therefore, may have been less representative. Second, we could not objectivize time logging by trainees. Timers implemented in the box trainers would conform to this problem. Last, trainees were unable to get feedback during the autonomous training period. Although a supplied instructions-DVD included explanations and demonstrations of laparoscopic exercises, adequate proctoring during autonomous training may result in more efficient time use and also enhance motivation. Also, adequate proctoring and feedback on a fixed time schedule during autonomous training might avoid the acquisition of incorrect (laparoscopic) skills. Feedback is essential for skill acquisition.³⁴ Rogers and colleagues demonstrated that feedback plays an important role in computer-assisted learning.^{35,36} Asking residents to provide a weekly time-log will encourage a distributive training mechanism. A laptop connected to the box trainer can instantly record (and play-back) exercise sessions. Using e-mail to send performance metrics for review and summative feedback would facilitate this option.³⁷

As in other domains, such as the military, aviation, and ship navigation, an (online) gaming environment may be introduced to encourage voluntarily skills training.³⁸⁻⁴⁰ Verdaasdonk et al. introduced an online competition between surgical residents on a laparoscopic VR simulator. This gaming element enhanced the motivation of surgical trainees to train voluntarily.¹⁹ Inclusion of motion analysis on a box trainer could provide an objective scoring system (to a gaming competition). Rewards could also be directed at individual learning performance in which trainees should keep a portfolio.⁴¹ As is known, proficiency-based training improves motivation and encourages (autonomous) skills training and skill retention as well.^{42,43}

Assessments have a strong effect on learning in general and the effectiveness of a training program specifically.⁴⁴ A fully integrated surgical curriculum, including predefined goals, proctoring and protected time and an objective assessment of skills with defined passing scores is necessary for a reward system to be fair.

The effectiveness of any simulator-based educational program depends mainly on the quality and functionality of its curriculum. The program described in this study demonstrates that voluntary exercise only succeeds if targets and examinations are used as a motivation to encourage practice.

CONCLUSIONS

Residents in this study felt insufficient time was spent on laparoscopic simulator based training.

Main confounders included a lack of time or a lack of interest in MIS. Autonomous practice should be structured and inclusive of adequate and sufficient feedback points. A minimally required practice time should be set. An obligatory assessment, including corresponding consequence should be conducted. Compliance

herewith may result in increased voluntary (autonomous) simulator based (laparoscopic) training by residents.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors have no conflicts of interest to report relative to the preparation or publication of this study.

REFERENCES

1. Nieboer TE, Spaanderman ME, Bongers MY, Vierhout ME, Kluivers KB. Gynecologists estimate and experience laparoscopic hysterectomy as more difficult compared with abdominal hysterectomy. *Gynecol Surg.* 2010;7:359-363.
2. Lujan J, Valero G, Hernandez Q, et al. Randomized clinical trial comparing laparoscopic and open surgery in patients with rectal cancer. *Br J Surg.* 2009;96:982-989.
3. Zhang X, Wang B, Ma X, et al. Laparoscopic adrenalectomy for beginners without open counterpart experience: Initial results under staged training. *Urology.* 2009;73:1061-1065.
4. Frede T, Erdogru T, Zukosky D, et al. Comparison of training modalities for performing laparoscopic radical prostatectomy: Experience with 1,000 patients. *J Urol.* 2005;174:673-678.
5. Stolzenburg JU, Rabenalt R, Do M, Horn LC, Liatsikos EN. Modular training for residents with no prior experience with open pelvic surgery in endoscopic extraperitoneal radical prostatectomy. *Eur Urol.* 2006;49:491-498.
6. Scott DJ, Bergen PC, Rege RV, et al. Laparoscopic training on bench models: Better and more cost effective than operating room experience? *J Am Coll Surg.* 2000;191:272-283.
7. Pearson AM, Gallagher AG, Rosser JC, Satava RM. Evaluation of structured and quantitative training methods for teaching intracorporeal knot tying. *Surg Endosc.* 2002;16:130-137.
8. Seymour NE, Gallagher AG, Roman SA, et al. Virtual reality training improves operating room performance: Results of a randomized, double-blinded study. *Ann Surg.* 2002;236:458-463.
9. Ahlberg G, Enochsson L, Gallagher AG, et al. Proficiency-based virtual reality training significantly reduces the error rate for residents during their first 10 laparoscopic cholecystectomies. *Am J Surg.* 2007;193:797-804.
10. Madan AK, Frantzides CT. Prospective randomized controlled trial of laparoscopic trainers for basic laparoscopic skills acquisition. *Surg Endosc.* 2007;21:209-213.
11. Scheeres DE, Mellinger JD, Brassier BA, Davis AT. Animate advanced laparoscopic courses improve resident operative performance. *Am J Surg.* 2004;188:157-160.

12. Schijven MP, Jakimowicz JJ, Broeders IA, Tseng LN. The Eindhoven laparoscopic cholecystectomy training course—improving operating room performance using virtual reality training: Results from the first E.A.E.S. accredited virtual reality trainings curriculum. *Surg Endosc.* 2005;19:1220-1226.
13. Seymour NE. VR to OR: a review of the evidence that virtual reality simulation improves operating room performance. *World J Surg.* 2008;32:182-188.
14. Van Sickle KR, Ritter EM, Baghai M, et al. Prospective, randomized, double-blind trial of curriculum-based training for intracorporeal suturing and knot tying. *J Am Coll Surg.* 2008;207:560-568.
15. Korndorffer JRJ, Dunne JB, Sierra R, et al. Simulator training for laparoscopic suturing using performance goals translates to the operating room. *J Am Coll Surg.* 2005;201:23-29.
16. Verdaasdonk EGG, Dankelman J, Lange JF, Stassen LPS. Transfer validity of laparoscopic knot-tying training on a VR simulator to a realistic environment: A randomized controlled trial. *Surg Endosc.* 2008;22:1636-1642.
17. van Dongen KW, van der Wal WA, Rinkes IH, Schijven MP, Broeders IA. Virtual reality training for endoscopic surgery: Voluntary or obligatory? *Surg Endosc.* 2008;22:664-667.
18. Chang L, Petros J, Hess DT, Rotondi C, Babineau TJ. Integrating simulation into a surgical residency program: Is voluntary participation effective? *Surg Endosc.* 2007;21:418-421.
19. Verdaasdonk EG, Dankelman J, Schijven MP, et al. Serious gaming and voluntary laparoscopic skills training: A multicenter study. *Minim Invasive Ther Allied Technol.* 2009;18:232-238.
20. Schijven MP, Berlage JT, Jakimowicz JJ. Minimal-access surgery training in The Netherlands: A survey among residents-in-training for general surgery. *Surg Endosc.* 2004;18:1805-1814.
21. Park A, Witzke DB. Training and educational approaches to minimally invasive surgery: State of the art. *Semin Laparosc Surg.* 2002;9:198-205.
22. Chiasson PM, Pace DE, Schlachta CM, Mamazza J, Poulin EC. Minimally invasive surgery training in Canada: A survey of general surgery. *Surg Endosc.* 2003;17:371-377.
23. Liberman MA, Greason K. Residency training in advanced laparoscopic surgery: How are we doing? *Surg Laparosc Endosc Percutan Tech.* 1999;9:87-90.
24. Palter V, Orzech N, Aggarwal R, Okrainec A, Grantcharov T. Resident perceptions of advanced laparoscopic skills training. *Surg Endosc.* 2010;24:2830-4. Erratum in: *Surg Endosc.* 2011;25:2408-10.
25. Madan AK, Frantzides CT, Tebbit C, Quiros RM. Participants' opinions of laparoscopic training devices after a basic laparoscopic training course. *Am J Surg.* 2005;189:758-761.
26. Kanumuri P, Ganai S, Wohaibi EM, et al. Virtual reality and computer-enhanced training devices equally improve laparoscopic surgical skill in novices. *JSLs.* 2008;12:219-226.
27. Furnée EJB, van Empel PJ, Mahdavian Delavary B, et al. Evaluation of a technical skills training program in surgical residents. *J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A.* 2009;19:615-621.
28. Advanced Suturing Course, VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam. Available at: <http://www.vumc.nl/afdelingen/PAOG/Laparoscopiecursus/>. Accessed April 2007.
29. Seymour NE. Integrating simulation into a busy residency program. *Minim Invasive Ther Allied Technol.* 2005;14:280-286.
30. Aggarwal R, Hance J, Undre S, et al. Training junior operative residents in laparoscopic suturing skills is feasible and efficacious. *Surgery.* 2006;139:729-734.
31. Hutchinson L. Educational environment. *BMJ.* 2003;326:810-812.
32. Kusurkar RA, Ten Cate TJ, van Asperen M, Croiset G. Motivation as an independent and a dependent variable in medical education: A review of the literature. *Med Teach.* 2011;33:e242-e262.
33. MacDonald J, Williams RG, Rogers DA. Self-assessment in simulation-based surgical skills training. *Am J Surg.* 2003;185:319-322.
34. Porte MC, Xeroulis G, Reznick RK, Dubrowski A. Verbal feedback from an expert is more effective than self-accessed feedback about motion efficiency in learning new surgical skills. *Am J Surg.* 2007;193:105-110.
35. Rogers DA, Regehr G, Yeh KA, Howdieshell TR. Computer-assisted learning versus a lecture and feedback seminar for teaching a basic surgical technical skill. *Am J Surg.* 1998;175:508-510.
36. Rogers DA, Regehr G, Howdieshell TR, Yeh KA, Palm E. The impact of external feedback on computer-assisted learning for surgical technical skill training. *Am J Surg.* 2000;179:341-343.
37. Kerfoot BP, Fu Y, Baker H, et al. Online spaced education generates transfer and improves long-term retention of diagnostic skills: A randomized controlled trial. *J Am Coll Surg.* 2010;211:331-337.
38. Koonce JM, Bramble WJ, Jr. Personal computer-based flight training devices. *Int J Aviat Psychol.* 1998;8:277-292.

39. Li Z. The Potential of America's Army the Video Game as Civilian-Military Public Sphere. *Master Thesis*. 2003.
40. De Kat JO, Paulling JR. The simulation of ship motions and capsizing in severe sea states. *SNAME transactions*. 1989;97:139-168.
41. Lewis CE, Tillou A, Yeh MW, et al. Web-based portfolios: A valuable tool for surgical education. *J Surg Res*. 2010;161:40-46.
42. Stefanidis D, Acker CE, Greene FL. Performance goals on simulators boost resident motivation and skills laboratory attendance. *J Surg Educ*. 2010;67:66-70.
43. Stefanidis D, Korndorffer JR Sierra R, et al. Skill retention following proficiency-based laparoscopic simulator training. *Surgery*. 2005;138:165-170.
44. Stefanidis D. Optimal acquisition and assessment of proficiency on simulators in surgery. *Surg Clin North Am*. 2010;90:475-489.